Submissions from the Public Consultation on a draft 2018 Code of Practice for the Safety, Health and Welfare (Chemical Agents) Regulations 2001

22nd March to 20th April 2018 (4 submissions received)

Submission 1		
Document Submitted by	Miriam Mcauliffe	
Organisation	SKBT	
Submission Date	27 April 2018	
Document reviewed by Darren Arkins		

Subject: OELV for Methylene Chloride

Hello

I was hoping to seek confirmation on the new proposed OELV for Methylene Chloride (cas # 75-09-2). The draft CoP indicates a reduction in the existing OELV along with including STEL & SK notation , however it appears to be changed from an 8hr OELV of 50ppm to a proposed changed of 100ppm which is an increase ?

Response

Hi Miriam,

Thanks for your comment on the draft Code of Practice re: Methylene Chloride.

Yes, you are correct, it is an increase from 50ppm to 100ppm to bring into line with the EU 4th Indicative list Directive 2017/164/EU so we have better harmonisation with other member states. We had indicated the increase in the accompanying Explanatory Note and Analysis documents with the draft CoP.

We have had no comments to maintain the 50ppm so it is likely we will adopt the new 100ppm limit value.

I hope this answers your query.

Regards,

Darren

Darren Arkins

Senior Inspector, Chemicals and Prevention Division

Submission 2			
Document Submitted by	Susana Planas MunGavin		
Organisation	ChemADVISOR		
Submission Date	27 Mar 2018		
D			

Document reviewed by Darren Arkins

Submission

From: Susana Planas Sent: 27 March 2018 15:51

To: consultation

Subject: Draft CoP 2018

Hello,

Submissions from the Public Consultation on a draft 2018 Code of Practice for the Safety, Health and Welfare (Chemical Agents) Regulations 2001

22nd March to 20th April 2018 (4 submissions received)

Could you please indicate whether the OELVs with regards to Cadmium and Cadmium compounds are correctly listed in the in the Draft CoP 2018?

Please see image below with values provided in the Draft Code under Public consultation. As the values in bold represent the new or proposed changed values according to Schedule 2 of the CoP 2016, would the TWA value 0.025 mg/m3 be withdrawn? The current CoP applies this OELV for Cadmium oxide fume CAS No. 1306-19-0.

p tort bulgitoraurio	E0E 0.00	00 01 1		V
Cadmium	231-152-8	7440-43-9	-	0.025
Cadmium and compounds, as Cd.	-	7440-43-9	-	0.01
				0.002 (R)

Thank you for your kind attention.
Susana Planas MunGavin
European EHS Specialist
ChemADVISOR, a UL Company
Supply Chain and Sustainability

Response

Cadmium entry deleted from draft COP as this was an error.

Submission 3	
Document Submitted by	Loretta Mathews
Organisation	Janssen Pharmaceutical Sciences UC
Submission Date	18 th April 2018
Decument reviewed by Darren Arkins	

Document reviewed by Darren Arkins

Submission

From: Mathews, Loretta Sent: 18 April 2018 11:47

To: consultation

Subject: Public Consultation on Code of Practice for the Chemical Agent Regulations

Hi

Reviewed Draft Code of Practice 2018. Don't mind losing Schedule 3 provided the CAS number remains in Schedule 1, which looks like it is the case.

Regards, Loretta

Submissions from the Public Consultation on a draft 2018 Code of Practice for the Safety, Health and Welfare (Chemical Agents) Regulations 2001

22nd March to 20th April 2018 (4 submissions received)

Loretta Mathews Industrial Hygienist Janssen Pharmaceutical Sciences UC

Response

Agreed that Schedule 3 will be retained in the updated 2018 Code of Practice for reasons outlined in submission 4.

Submission 4		
Document Submitted by	Imelda Kiely	
Organisation	Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals	
Submission Date	23 March 2018	

Submission

From: Kiely, Imelda

Sent: 23 March 2018 10:39

To: consultation Cc: Walsh, Mary O.

Subject: Public Consultation on Code of Practice for the Chemical Agent Regulations

Thanks for requesting feedback on the Draft Code of Practice for the Chemical Agent Regulations. I have reviewed the document and have one comment only:

• Re the Proposal to remove Schedule 3 from the document I believe that this will have a negative impact on end users of the document and would request that Schedule 3 should be left in place as an index. This is the first place I and my colleagues go when looking up chemicals as the nomenclature within the Code of Practice can vary from material to material. Having the CAS number available makes it possible to have a quick reference to check is the material in the Code of Practice or not and it saves us a lot of time and is very valuable to us.

Kind regards,

Imelda Kiely Occupational Hygiene Team Lead EHS Dept Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals,

Response

Agreed that Schedule 3 will be retained in the updated 2018 Code of Practice for reasons outlined in submission 4.